

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,290	01/16/2002	Kristi Cordova	100110484-1	7024
7590 11/16/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LANEAU, RONALD	
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			3627	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/050,290	CORDOVA, KRISTI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ronald Laneau	3627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be the property of the property	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 01 September 2005.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-15,17,18 and 20</u> is/are p	ending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1-8,10-15,17,18 and 20 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
_	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of th					
	application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.			
	•					
Attachment	ric\					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ \ Interview	r Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Characteristics of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	o) ☐ Otner:	·			

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on September 1, 2005, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY 1. REOPENED. A new ground of rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 10-15, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peyrelevade et al (US 2001/0120550 A1) in view of Wong (US 6,343,275 B1).

As per claims 1, 5-8, 11, 15, 17, 18 and 20, Peyrelevade discloses a system including the steps of at least one reseller's electronic site, each such site arranged to display information pertaining to products that are selectable by a user, said products provided to said reseller from a source independent (fig. 3, 2700) from said reseller (page 9, [0102], lines 5-9); at least one database (fig. 3, 3600) controlled by said independent source (fig. 3, 2700), said database (fig. 3, 3600) arranged to contain information specific to said source's modules that are displayable to said user via said reseller's electronic site (page 6, [0070], lines 1-3); and at least one communication link 2300 controlled jointly by said source and said reseller (fig. 2). Peyrelevade does not electronically distribute and update the reseller sites but Wong discloses electronically distribute the latest version of the data to said reseller, delivering electronically, from time to time, as determined by the source location, an updated information from said

database to the reseller sites with the timing of said distribution determined by said source (col. 4, lines 22-27).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the electronic distribution and update of reseller sites as taught by Wong into the system of Peyrelevade because it would provide a software that enables business-to-business web commerce and that automates to the greatest degree possible the various aspects of running a successful and profitable business.

As per claims 2, 3, 10, 12-14, Peyrelevade discloses a system wherein said sourcecontrolled database can communicate to a plurality of electronic sites, each controlled by a different reseller (fig. 3), wherein further comprising means for causing the distribution of product data to a plurality of reseller's electronic sites, such that the product data will be uniformly displayed to an accessing user regardless of which one of said plurality of reseller's electronic sites said user accesses (page 11, [0127], lines 1-6). Peyrelevade further discloses establishing a transactional relationship between a user and said reseller (fig. 3, 2300); receiving from said user a specific request; and communicating said user's request to said source (third party, fig. 3); and said method further including the steps at said source of: receiving user requests from said resellers; and directly fulfilling each said request from said source to said user while still maintaining said established transactional relationship (fig. 3), wherein said maintained transitional relationship controls of payment between said user and reseller (page 9, [0110], lines 1-7).

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peyrelevade et al (US 2001/0120550 A1) in view of Wong (US 6,343,275 B1) and further in vies of Narain et al (US 6,535,506 B1).

As per claim 4, neither Peyrelevade nor Wong discloses at least one second communication link established from said source database to an accessing user at a reseller's electronic site such that said accessing user may receive certain data directly from said source database while said accessing user remains in communication with said reseller's electronic site but Narain discloses a communication link that is different between the source database and the user and between the source database and the reseller site (fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the different communication channel between the source database and the user and between the source database and the reseller site as disclosed by Narain into the combined systems of Peyrelevade and Wong because it would establish simultaneous communication between the user and the reseller allowing separate communication between the source database and the reseller.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 9/1/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the rejection does not show "electronic distribution of the latest version of data" as claimed but the newly added reference in Wong discloses electronically updating the reseller sites for latest products (see above rejection). The same rational applies

Application/Control Number: 10/050,290

Art Unit: 3627

for Applicant's arguments as to the other claims. Claims 1-8, 10-15, 17, 18 and 20 remain

rejected.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald Laneau

Konald Daneau

Examiner

Art Unit 3627

11/9/05

Page 5

rl